91-CR-00292-ORD

ON DOCKET

MAY 23 1985



MAY 2 2 1985

AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DEPORTS OF WASHINGTON SE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. CIV 9213-Phase I

v.

İ

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Defendants.

A plan has been proposed for assigning "sub-numbers" to each distinct dispute within the above-captioned action. The proposed plan has been submitted to the Court and to counsel for the parties for review. It appears to the Court that no objections to the proposed plan have been received. Having received and considered the proposed plan, the Court concludes as follows:

IT IS ORDERED that the proposed Sub-Numbering System outlined by the April 29, 1985 memo from Deputy Clerk Darragh Lalich is approved by the Court.

DATED this 70 to day of May, 1985.

United States District Judge

10070

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BRUCE RIFKIN

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

E


SOL U.S. COURTHOUSE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON DEIGA

April 29, 1985

TO: Hon, Walter E. Craig Counsel

FROM: Darragh Lalich, Deputy Clerk

RE: 9213 Numbering System, Phase I



EMERGRED LEGAL SERVICES NATIVE AMERICAN PROJECT

Subject to formal approval by Judge Craig which we anticipate shortly, an experimental plan for assigning "sub-numbers" to each distinct dispute within the case has been proposed. The objective is to assist all offices in handling the substantial flow of paperwork. We can have more confidence that we have all pleadings relating to a given dispute. Sub-files can be closed as matters are completed.

These procedures are suggested:

- (1) We will require the parties to use a sub-number on all papers filed, except routine administrative documents. The Clerk's Office will return any documents which do not comply.
- (2) George Dysari has agreed to be the "sub-number consultant." Before a party files a "Request For Determination" or other document that initiates a new proceeding, counse) will call George Dysart and secure his recommendation as to which matters warrant assignment of a separate sub-number. Magistrate Weinberg will substitute When necessary.
- (3) Counsel will then call the Clerk's Office who will assign the next available number (e.g. 9213/85-107).
- (4) The initial paper must bear the sub-number when filed and served.
 All subsequent papers related to the same dispute must bear the same number.
- (5) In implementing this system, we will identify and assign sub-numbers to all presently active matters. Future papers will bear these numbers.
- (6) The Clerk will continue to file and docket all papers in 9213; the docket numbers will reflect the Sub-numbers.

George Dysart has submitted a list of pending cases deserving sub-oumbers. Please see enclosure. We request that you add to or delete from this list and return by May 10, 1985. We are hoping to effect the new system as soon as possible so your cooperation will be appreciated.

U.S. v. WASHINGTON No. 9213 Phase I W.D. WASHINGTON

SUB-NUMBERING SYSTEM

ACTIVE FILES

Stillaguamish RFD re: Stillaguamish add'l U & A Places Filed 10/28/76 Amended request 5/1/79 (79-) A Dore

Tulalip RFD re: U & A Places Filed 9/4/80 (80-

Attorney Fees - Phase I Filed 2/22/74; Reactivated 10/29/80 (80-)

Quinault, Hoh & Quileute Compact for Ocean Fisheries Filed 6/16/81 (81-

Skokomish RFD Re: Primary Right in Hood Canal Filed 6/17/81 (81-) Appeal No. 84-3894, Pending

State of Wash RFD re: Indian Share, Chehalis River Runs
Filed 1/24/83 (83-)
Consolidated with Chehalis v. Washington
Civil # C83-117T(C) by Order of
Partial Consolidation 8/5/83

Contempt re: 1983 Chehalis River Steelhead Fishery Filed 1/25/83 (83-Appeal No. 84-3999, Pending

Quileute RFD, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Re: State Hatchery Program Filed 1/2//83, Dismissed 5/1/84; Dismissal rescanded 5/4/84 (83-

Quinault RFD re: Equit for 1982 Grays Harbor Filed 5/21/83	table Adj. (83-		
Wash, RFD re: 1982 Pug Salmon Equitable Adjus Filed 8/24/82; Amended request 6/2/83	get Sound stment)	
Appeal No. 84-3769, Pe	ending eaty Catch		
Filed 9/28/83 Wash. AFD re: 1983 Nor	(83- rth Coast:) al	
Chinook Management Filed 10/4/83 Appeal No. 84-3571 per	(83-)	
Point No Point RFD re: Hood Canal Management Filed 10/7/83)	
Revised Puget Sound Sa Management Plan	almon (85-)	7
Lummie RFD re: Merring Primary Rights Area (and 1985 Allocation)	ą Fishery		Still your?
Filed 4/9/85	(85-)	